



Grunnlag for fastsettelse av grenseverdi

Grunnlagsdokument for
2-etylhexanol

Kommisjonsdirektiv 2017/164/EU

Grunnlag for fastsettelse av grenseverdi.
Grunnlagsdokument for 2-etylhexanol.

Utgitt av:
Arbeidstilsynet
Postboks 4720, Torgard
7468 Trondheim
Tlf: 73 19 97 00

Utgitt dato: 15. april 2018
Nettadresse: www.arbeidstilsynet.no
ISBN-nummer:
Foto forside:
Øvrige bilder:

Denne rapporten omhandler det toksikologiske grunnlaget og vurderinger, samt tekniske og økonomiske hensyn for fastsettelse av grenseverdi for 2-etylhexanol.



Innhold

Innhold	3
Forord	4
Innledning	5
1. Stoffets identitet	5
2. Fysikalske og kjemiske data	5
2.1 Forekomst og bruk	6
3. Grenseverdier	6
3.1 Nåværende grenseverdier	6
3.2. Grenseverdier fra EU	6
3.3. Grenseverdier fra andre land og organisasjoner	7
3.4. Stoffets klassifisering	8
3.5. Biologisk overvåking	8
4. Toksikologiske data og helseeffekter	8
4.1. Anbefaling fra SCOEL	8
4.2. Kommentarer fra TEAN	8
5. Bruk og eksponering	9
5.1. Opplysning fra Produktregistret	9
5.2. Eksponering og måledokumentasjon	10
5.2.1. EXPO- data for 2-etylhexanol	11
5.2.2. Prøvetakings- og analysemetode av 2-etylhexanol	13
6. Vurdering	14
7. Konklusjon med forslag til ny grenseverdi	14
8. Nye grenseverdier	15
9. Referanser	16
Vedlegg SCOEL	17



Forord

Grunnlagsdokumenter for fastsettelse av grenseverdier utarbeides av Arbeidstilsynet i samarbeid med Statens arbeidsmiljøinstitutt (STAMI) og partene i arbeidslivet (Næringslivets hovedorganisasjon/Norsk Industri og Landsorganisasjonen i Norge) i henhold til *Strategi for utarbeidelse og fastsettelse av grenseverdier for forurensninger i arbeidsatmosfæren*. Dette dokumentet er utarbeidet ved implementering av kommisjonsdirektiv 2017/164/EU fastsatt 31. januar 2017.

EU-rådets direktiv 98/24/EC (Vern av helse og sikkerhet til arbeidstakere mot risiko i forbindelse med kjemiske agenser på arbeidsplassen) av 7. april 1998 stiller krav om at EU- kommisjonen skal legge frem forslag til indikative grenseverdier for eksponering av visse kjemikalier som medlemslandene må innføre på nasjonalt nivå. De nasjonale grenseverdiene kan være høyere enn de som står oppført i direktivet, dersom et medlemsland mener at det er nødvendig av tekniske og/eller økonomiske hensyn, men landene bør nærme seg den indikative grenseverdien. Direktivet stiller krav om at indikative grenseverdier vedtas gjennom kommisjonsdirektiv.

I Norge ble de indikative grenseverdiene innført som veiledende administrative normer. Da nye Arbeidsmiljøforskrifter trådte i kraft 1.1.2013 ble de veiledende administrative normene forskriftsfestet i forskrift om tiltaks- og grenseverdier og fikk betegnelsen tiltaksverdier. I 2015 ble begrepet «grenseverdi» for kjemikalier presisert og begrepet «tiltaksverdi» for kjemikalier ble opphevet i forskrift om tiltaks- og grenseverdier. I vedlegg 1 til forskriften ble det innført en tydeliggjøring av anmerkningene.

Arbeidstilsynet har ansvaret for revisjonsprosessen og utarbeidelse av grunnlagsdokumenter for stoffene som blir vurdert. Det toksikologiske grunnlaget for stoffene i denne revisjonen baserer seg i hovedsak på kriteriedokumenter fra EUs vitenskapskomité for fastsettelse av grenseverdier, Scientific Committee for Occupational Exposure Limits (SCOEL). SCOEL utarbeider de vitenskapelige vurderingene som danner grunnlaget for anbefalinger til helsebaserte grenseverdier, og disse legges fram for kommisjonen.

Statens arbeidsmiljøinstitutt (STAMI) ved Toksikologisk ekspertgruppe for administrative normer (TEAN) bidrar med faglige vurderinger i dette arbeidet. TEAN vurderer og evaluerer de aktuelle SCOEL dokumentene, presiserer kritiske effekter og vurderer behov for korttidsverdier ut i fra den foreliggende dokumentasjonen. Videre søker og evaluerer TEAN nyere litteratur etter utgivelsen av dokumentet. TEAN bruker kriteriene gitt i SCOEL's metododokument, "Methodology for the derivation of occupational exposure limits: Key documentation (version 7, June 2013)". Dette er inkludert i TEANs Metododokument del B (Prosedyre for utarbeidelse av toksikologiske vurderinger for stoffer som skal implementeres i det norske regelverket for grenseverdier etter direktiv fra EU-kommisjonen) utarbeidet for denne revisjonen.

Informasjon om bruk og eksponering i Norge innhentes fra Produktregisteret, EXPO databasen ved STAMI og eventuelle tilgjengelige måledata fra virksomheter/næringer. Beslutningsprosessen skjer gjennom drøftingsmøter der Arbeidstilsynet, Næringslivets hovedorganisasjon/Norsk Industri og Landsorganisasjonen i Norge deltar, samt orienteringsmøter og offentlig høring. Konklusjonene fra høringen med forskriftsendringer og nye grenseverdier forelegges Arbeids- og sosialdepartementet som tar den endelige beslutningen.



Innledning


Dette grunnlagsdokumentet omhandler vurderingsgrunnlaget for fastsettelse av grenseverdi for 2-etylhexanol. Innholdet bygger spesielt på anbefalinger fra Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits (SCOEL)¹ i EU for 2-etylhexanol, og vurderinger og kommentarer fra Toksikologisk Ekspertgruppe for Administrative Normer (TEAN).

1. Stoffets identitet

2-etylhexanol og stoffets molekylformel, synonym av stoffets navn, stoffets identifikasjonsnummer i Chemical Abstract Service Registry number (CAS-nr.) og identifikasjonsnummer EC-nr. er gitt i tabell 1. Strukturformel av 2-etylhexanol er vist i figur 1.

Tabell 1. Stoffets navn og identitet.

Kjemisk navn	2-etylhexanol
Molekylformel	C₉H₂₁O
IUPAC navn	2-Etylhexan-1-ol
Synonymer	Isooktanol; oktylalkohol
CAS-nr.	104-76-7
EC-nr.	203-234-3



Figur 1. Strukturformel av 2-etylhexanol (<https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/2-%C3%89thyl-1-hexanol>).

2. Fysikalske og kjemiske data

Stoffet 2-etylhexanol er en fargeløs væske med en mild blomsterliknende lukt. Stoffet er nesten helt uløselig i vann, men blandbar med de fleste organiske løsemidler. Det vises til tabell 2 for fysikalske og kjemiske data for 2-etylhexanol.

Tabell 2. Fysikalske og kjemiske data for 2-etylhexanol.

Molekylvekt (g/mol)	130,23
Fysisk tilstand	Væske
Smeltepunkt (°C)	-89
Kokepunkt (°C)	183,5-185
Løselighet i vann (20 °C) (g/l)	1 - 27 ¹
Damptrykk ved 20 °C (hPa)	48 og 49 (SCOEL: 0,05 – 0,4 ¹)
Damp tetthet (air = 1) (g/cm³)	0,832
Fordelingskoeffisient n-oktanol/vann (log K_{ow}, 25 °C)	2,9 (SCOEL: 2,28 ved 20 °C) ¹
Omregningsfaktor (20 °C, 101 kPa)	1 ppm = 5,41 mg/m ³ ; 1 mg/m ³ = 0,185 ppm

¹Data hentet fra SCOEL/SUM/158, mars 2011.

2.1 Forekomst og bruk

Stoffet 2-etylhexanol blir produsert og/eller importert i EØS i 100 000 – 1 000 000 tonn per år. Stoffet blir brukt i en rekke produkter blant annet som smøremidler og fett, i hydrauliske væsker, i drivstoff, i frostvæsker og i vaske- og rengjøringsprodukter. 2-etylhexanol blir videre brukt som et løsemiddel i maling, lakk, blekk, gummi, papir, klesrens, som fuktemiddel i tekstiler og som aroma ingrediens i mat.¹

Stoffet blir også brukt i produksjon av kjemikalier. Eksempelvis blir stoffet brukt som et mellomprodukt i produksjonen av plastider for eksempel dietylhexyl phatalat (DEHP) for polyvinylklorid (PVC) harpiks, heksylestere og akrylater som 2-etylhexaakrylat.

3. Grenseverdier

3.1 Nåværende grenseverdier

Norge har p.t. ingen grenseverdi for 2-etylhexanol.

3.2 Grenseverdier fra EU

Den europeiske vitenskapskomiteen, SCOEL foreslår for 2-etylhexanol i sitt kriteriedokument av mars 2011¹:



IOELV (Indicative Occupational Exposure Limit Value) (8 timer): 1 ppm, 5,4 mg/m³

Verken korttidsverdi eller anmerkning er foreslått for 2-etylhexanol.

3.3. Grenseverdier fra andre land og organisasjoner

Ikke alle land og organisasjoner har i dag grenseverdier for 2-etylhexanol, og av de nordiske landene er det bare Finland som har en grenseverdi for stoffet. Foruten Finland har Nederland og Tyskland grenseverdier for 2-etylhexanol. Siden 2-etylhexanol er inntatt i Kommissjonsdirektivet 2017/164/EU vil flere land fastsette en grenseverdi for stoffet. Organisasjonene ACGIH og NIOSH har heller ingen anbefalte grenseverdier for stoffet. Grenseverdier for 2-etylhexanol fra andre land og organisasjoner er gitt i tabell 3 nedenfor.

Tabell 3. Grenseverdier for 2-etylhexanol fra andre land og organisasjoner. Land og organisasjoner som ikke har grenseverdi for stoffet er markert med -.

Land Organisasjon	Grenseverdi (8 timer)	Korttidsverdi (15 min)	Anmerkning Kommentar
Sverige ¹	-	-	-
Danmark ²	-	-	-
Finland ³	1 ppm; 5,4 mg/m ³		-
Storbritannia ⁴	-	-	-
Nederland ⁵	20 ppm; 110 mg/m ³	20 ppm; 110 mg/m ³	
ACGIH, USA ⁶	-	-	-
NIOSH, USA ⁶	-	-	-
Tyskland, MAK ⁶	10 ppm; 54 mg/m ³		C, toppeksposering/takverdi I(1): Overskridelsesfaktor I: irriterende effekter, respiratorisk allergen;
Tyskland, Myndighetene ⁷	10 ppm; 54 mg/m ³		Gjelder korttidsverdi: 1(I): Overskridelsesfaktor I: allergifremkallende; Y, ikke fare for skade på foster dersom grenseverdi overholdes 11, sum av damp og aerosol

¹ Arbetsmiljöverkets Hygieniska gränsvärden AFS 2015:7,

<https://www.av.se/globalassets/filer/publikationer/foreskrifter/hygieniska-gransvarden-afs-2015-7.pdf>.

² At-vejledning, stoffer og materialer - C.0.1, 2007, <https://arbejdstilsynet.dk/da/regler/at-vejledninger/g/c-0-1-graensevaerdi-for-stoffer-og-mat>.

³ Social og hälsovårdsministeriet, HTP-värden, Koncentrationer som befunnits skadliga, 2016,

Helsingfors, http://julkaisut.valtioneuvosto.fi/bitstream/handle/10024/79110/STM_9_2016_HTP-yarden_2016_Ruotsi_22122016_NETTI.pdf.

⁴ EH40 andre utgave, 2013, <http://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/priced/eh40.pdf>.

⁵ http://www.ser.nl/en/oel_database.aspx; <http://www.ser.nl/en/grenswaarden/2%20ethylhexanol.aspx>

⁶ Guide to occupational exposure values compiled by ACGIH, 2017.



⁷Baua, TRGS 900, oppdatert 2016, https://www.baua.de/DE/Angebote/Rechtstexte-und-Technische-Regeln/Regelwerk/TRGS/pdf/TRGS-900.pdf;jsessionid=439FFF321DF2323E60F868CD08E9CD3A.s1t2?_blob=publicationFile&v=2

3.4. Stoffets klassifisering

Stoffet 2-etylhexanol er ikke klassifisert og merket i henhold til CLP Annex VI (Forordning EC No 1272/2008).

3.5. Biologisk overvåking

For å vurdere grad av eksponering for forurensning i luften på arbeidsplassen kan man anvende konsentrasjonen av forurensningen i arbeidstakerens urin, blod eller utåndingsluft, eller annen respons på eksponeringen i kroppen. EU har satt verdier for dette kalt biologisk grenseverdi (BLV).

SCOEL fremmer ikke et forslag til biologisk grenseverdi for 2-etylhexanol.

4. Toksikologiske data og helseeffekter

4.1. Anbefaling fra SCOEL

EU's vitenskapskomite (SCOEL) har utarbeidet kriteriedokumentasjon for 2-etylhexanol datert mars 2011¹ hvor de anbefaler en grenseverdi for stoffet lik 1 ppm og 5,4 mg/m³, se vedlegg 1.

På bakgrunn av sine vurderinger har SCOEL vurdert irritasjon i øye og nese som kritisk effekt ved eksponering for 2-etylhexanol. Vurderingen baserer seg på humane studier, og med bakgrunn i resultatene av studiene anbefaler SCOEL en grenseverdi (8 timer) for 2-etylhexanol lik 1 ppm. SCOEL anbefaler ingen hudanmerkning siden den systemiske toksisiteten som en følge av eksponering for 2-etylhexanol er svært lav.

4.2. Kommentarer fra TEAN

SCOEL-dokumentet er fra mars 2011, med siste referanse fra 2009.

Det er utført et søk etter senere litteratur i PubMed. MAK-dokument fra 2000²/2012³, samt evalueringer fra REACH og CLP, er innhentet.

SCOEL har vurdert irritasjon i øye og nese som kritisk effekt. Sentrale i SCOELs vurdering er studier av van Triel (2005, 2007)^{4,5} og Kiesswetter (2005)⁶, som fant at rapportering av «moderat» kjemosensorisk ubehag (ubehagelig lukt) korresponderte til 1.5 ppm, mens «sterkt» ubehag korresponderte til 10 ppm og «veldig sterkt» til 20 ppm. Ved tilsvarende nivåer var nese- og øyeirritasjon på «svakt», «moderat» og «sterkt» nivå. Øyeirritasjon målt ved øyeblikkfrekvens viste en NOAEL ved 1.5 ppm og LOAEL ved 10 ppm. En nyere studie av Ernstgård⁷ viser tilsvarende funn.



MAK-dokumentet er fra 2000², med et supplement fra 2012³. De har benyttet de samme studiene som SCOEL i sin vurdering, men har valgt en strengere vurdering av kritisk effekt enn SCOEL, ettersom de ser bort fra rent subjektive irritasjonseffekter. På grunn av at man etter korttidseksponering (15 minutter) for 20 ppm så en ufullstendig reversering av øyenblinksfrekvensen har MAK valgt å sette en korttidsverdi.

Det er ikke registrert alvorlig systemisk toksisitet, heller ikke i en helt ny review ved RIFM (Research Institute of Fragrance Materials - 2016)⁸. Basert på kunnskap om analoge alkoholer er det grunn til å mistenke at stoffet kan ha narkotiske effekter. Det er imidlertid ikke funnet evidens for nevrotoksitet ved aktuelle eksponeringsnivåer. En studie har vist sentralnervøs påvirkning av mus ved 164 ppm og over.

På grunn av mistanke om fosterskadelig virkning av 2-ethylhexanol ble stoffet tatt inn i REACHs CoRAP, og rapport forelå 2015.⁹ Stoffet ble der vurdert til å være ikke fosterskadelig, og en harmonisert klassifikasjon ble ikke prioritert.

I en ny studie¹⁰ med subkronisk inhalasjonseksponering av mus, ble det observert degenerasjon av lukt/nese-epitel. LOAEL i denne studien var 20 ppm, men lavere eksponeringsnivåer var ikke testet. Forfatterne nevner i sin diskusjon at olfaktorisk sensitivitet hos mus er større enn hos mennesker.

TEAN har ikke på grunnlag av nyere litteratur funnet grunnlag for å bestride vurderingene i SCOEL-dokumentet, som gjelder 8-timers grenseverdi. SCOEL har ikke foreslått noen korttidsverdi. På basis av resultatene fra Miyake et al.¹⁰, samt delvis irreversible irritasjonseffekter (øyenblinksfrekvens) ved korttidseksponering for 20 ppm, er det TEANs vurdering at det er behov for en korttidsverdi.

5. Bruk og eksponering

5.1. Opplysning fra Produktregistret

Data fra Produktregisteret er innhentet oktober 2016, og inneholder opplysninger om mengde og bruk av stoffet 2-ethylhexanol i 275 deklareringspliktige produkter i Norge. Total mengde av stoffet i disse produktene oppgis å være 742,5 tonn (avrundet). Det henvises til tabell 4 for detaljert oversikt over bransjebeskrivelser med tilhørende bransjekode for de produkter det kan rapporteres på (minimum 4 produkter) og total mengde i tonn (over 0,4 tonn).



Tabell 4. Bransjekoder og beskrivelser bransjer hvor 2-etylhexanol benyttes og total mengde forbruk i tonn.

Bransjekode	Beskrivelse av bransjekode	Netto mengde (tonn)
06	Utvinning av råolje og naturgass	34,5
06.100	Utvinning av råolje	451,4
06.200	Utvinning av naturgass	6,0
20	Produksjon av kjemikalier og kjemiske produkter	7,7
20.3	Produksjon av maling og lakk, trykkfarger og tetningsmidler	5,4
22.2	Produksjon av plastprodukter	5,5
45.2	Vedlikehold og reparasjon av motorvogn, unntatt motorsykler	0,5
PR.1	Privat anvendelse	53,3

Stoffet inngår i produksjon av kjemikalier og kjemiske produkter, av maling og lakk, trykkfarger og tetningsmidler og plastprodukter, samt til utvinning av råolje og naturgass.

Opplysninger om produkttypekode, produkttype og maksimal mengde er gitt i tabell 5.

Tabell 5. Oversikt over produkttyper med beskrivelser som inneholder 2-etylhexanol, og totale mengder og antall produkter.

Produkttypekode	Beskrivelse av produkttype	Netto mengde (tonn)
B55100	Motordrivstoff (drivmidler)	64,8
B60200	Andre brenseltilsetninger	78,7
E15100	Emulsjonshindrende midler, generelt	348,3
O15100	Oppløsningsmidler og fortynnere, generelt	5,4
O25100	Befuktningmidler	7,6
O25300	Emulgatorer	48,4
R10990	Øvrige rengjøringsmidler	16,2
R30900	Råvarer til framstilling av papir	34,6

På grunn av sikkerhetsbestemmelsene i Produktregisteret kan vi ikke gi eksakte opplysninger ut over informasjon gitt i tabellene 4 og 5.

5.2. Eksponering og måledokumentasjon

I STAMIs eksponeringsdatabase EXPO er det registrert personbårne og stasjonære eksponeringsmålinger for stoffet 2-etylhexanol.



5.2.1. EXPO- data for 2-etylhexanol


Rapporterte målinger av stoffet 2-etylhexanol er hentet fra STAMIs eksponeringsdatabase EXPO. Eksponeringsmålinger av 2-etylhexanol som er registrert i EXPO er utført over flere år (1987–2014). Resultatene viser totalt 164 prøver hvorav 39 prøver er personbårne og 125 er stasjonære prøver. Av prøvene er det 7 og 19 prøver hvor målerverdier ikke er oppgitt for henholdsvis personbårne og stasjonære prøver. Da Norge p.t. ikke har grenseverdi for stoffet er det i figurene 2 og 3 valgt å presentere alle måledata (uavhengig verdier i ett diagram) for hver av type prøvetaking.

Personbårne prøver

I 1987 ble det utført 6 personbårne eksponeringsmålinger av arbeidstakere under arbeid med trykking av silke, og gjennomsnittlig prøvetakingstid var 458 minutter. Eksponeringsnivået lå mellom 0,01 – 0,15 ppm. Arbeidstiden er oppgitt å være 37 timer pr. uke og personlig verneutstyr ble ikke brukt under arbeidsoperasjonen.

Det er også utført 33 personbårne eksponeringsmålinger av 2-etylhexanol i perioden (1987– 2012) under produksjon av gummi- og plastprodukter, halvfabrikata av plast (betegnet kalender i figur 1), boretjenester i tilknytning til utvinning av råolje og naturgass, samt ved bruk av stoffet under operasjon på sykehus. Av de 33 prøvene er det 7 som ikke har oppgitt målerverdiene. Resultatene viser totalt 20 prøver hvor prøveresultatene viser målerverdier $< 1,4 \text{ mg/m}^3$. De personbårne prøveresultatene ligger alle godt under grenseverdier vurdert og foreslått av SCOEL.

Måledata for de 33 personbårne prøvene vist i figur 1 viser høyest eksponeringsverdier ($0,8\text{--}1,4 \text{ mg/m}^3$) for 2-etylhexanol hos arbeidstakere som har arbeidet med blanding, muddvekt, boring og boreslam (arbeidsoperasjon ukjent for denne gruppen), og de som har oppholdt seg i shakerrom og utført sikting i produksjonsarbeid.




Figur 2. Måledata fra EXPO for personbårne prøver av 2-etylhexanol.

Stasjonære prøver

Det er utført 125 stasjonære eksponeringsmålinger av 2-etylhexanol i perioden 1995-2010, hvorav for 19 av disse er ikke måleverdiene oppgitt. For 21 prøver er det utført målinger, men det er notert at disse ikke er utført med hensyn til arbeidsmiljøet, og i tillegg er 31 målinger utført men med ukjent arbeidsoperasjon, og disse 52 prøvene er derfor ikke vurdert. Det er derfor valgt å kommentere på 78 av de 106 prøvene hvor målinger foreligger fra kjent arbeidssted og med kjent arbeidsoperasjon.

For arbeidsmiljøet er det utført 2 målinger i trykkeri (0,017 og 0,037 mg/m³), 15 prøver ((0,00063-0,042 mg/m³) utført i 2014 med arbeidsbeskrivelse utbaking av ovn og 11 prøver er målt i kontorlandskap/kontorbås (0,0005-0,06 mg/m³). Videre er det målt 22 prøver i sliperom og romluft i korridor hvor målinger av romluft i korridor gir to prøver med høye konsentrasjoner (1,8 og 1,9 mg/m³), ellers er lave konsentrasjoner registrert. I tillegg er det målt i 5 rom i barnehage (0,002-0,0039 mg/m³), en prøve fra et produksjonssted for gummiblanding (0,129 mg/m³), en prøve fra et valseverk (0,095 mg/m³) og 3 prøver ved byggeplass (0,003 – 0,016 mg/m³). De resterende målingene har enda lavere verdier og er ikke valgt å kommentere på.

De stasjonære målingene viser høyst verdi for 18 prøver med arbeidsoperasjon boring som vist i figur 3. Som for de personbårne prøvene vist i figur 2, er eksponeringen for 2-etylhexanol høyest (ca. 0,3 – 10,2 mg/m³) i arbeidslufta for arbeidstakere som har arbeidet med blanding, muddvekt, boring og boreslam, og de som har oppholdt seg i shakerrom og utført sikting i produksjonsarbeid.



Figur 3. Måledata fra EXPO for stasjonære prøver av 2-etylhexanol.

5.2.2. Prøvetakings- og analysemetode av 2-etylhexanol

I tabell 6 er anbefalte metoder for prøvetaking og analyser av 2-etylhexanol presentert.

Tabell 6. Anbefalte metoder for prøvetaking og analyse av 2-etylhexanol.

Prøvetakingsmetode	Analysemetode	Referanse
Kullrør	Desorpsjon m/CH ₂ Cl ₂ , GC-FID ¹	OSHA CSI-metode ²

¹ GC: Gas Chromatography FID: Flame Ionisation Detector (Flammeionisasjonsdetektor)

² CSI: Chemical Sampling Information, <https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/>



6. Vurdering

Kritisk effekt ved eksponering for 2-etylhexanol er vurdert å være irritasjon i øyne og i luftveiene.

Ingen effekter ble observert ved 1,5 ppm under eksponering for 2-etylhexanol. Basert på vurderinger av SCOEL og TEAN anbefales en grenseverdi (8 timer) for 2-etylhexanol lik 1 ppm.

Den systemiske toksisiteten som en følge av eksponering for 2-etylhexanol er svært lav, og den kritiske effekten er lokal øye- og nese-irritasjon. Studier fra korttidseksponering for 2-etylhexanol viser delvis irreversible irritasjonseffekter (øyenblinksfrekvens) med NOAEL på 1,5 ppm og LOAEL lik 20 ppm, og det anbefales derfor en korttidsverdi (15 min) lik 10 ppm (54 mg/m³).

Det finnes ingen menneskelig data på om stoffet er kreftfremkallende, mutagent, eller reproduksjonsfarlig. De fleste tilgjengelige data fra dyrestudier viser negative resultater og ingen kreftfremkallende, mutagene eller reproduksjonsfarlige effekter på ikke-irriterende nivåer er rapportert.

En studie av 29 frivillige viste heller ikke tegn til allergi, så det anbefales derfor ingen hudenmerkning.

Vi ser av resultatene for personbårne og stasjonære målinger at størst utslipp til arbeidsmiljøet av 2-etylhexanol vil sannsynligvis skje fra produksjon av kjemikalier, kjemiske produkter, maling og lakk og trykkfarger, og til industriell bruk samt utvinning av råolje og naturgass. Eksponeringen viser seg å være størst ved eksempelvis blanding, sikting og boring under utvinning av råolje og naturgass hvor arbeidet mest sannsynlig ikke foregår lukket. I tillegg, vil arbeidstakere bli eksponert for 2-etylhexanol ved bruk av produkter som inneholder stoffet under vedlikehold og reparasjon av motorvogner.

En forskriftsfestet grenseverdi på 1 ppm, 5,4 mg/m³ antas ikke å føre til merkostnader for industrien da eksponeringen forventes å bli lavere enn foreslått grenseverdi. Personbårne måledata presentert i kapittel 5 viser alle nivåer under 1,4 mg/m³.

7. Konklusjon med forslag til ny grenseverdi

På bakgrunn av den foreliggende dokumentasjon og en avveining mellom de toksikologiske dataene og eksponeringsdata (dvs. tekniske og økonomiske hensyn), forslås en grenseverdi og en korttidsverdi med anmerkning for 2-etylhexanol.

Forslag til ny grenseverdi, korttidsverdi og anmerkning for 2-etylhexanol:

Grenseverdi (8-timers TWA): 1 ppm; 5,4 mg/m³

Korttidsverdi (15 min): 10 ppm; 54 mg/m³

Anmerkning: S (korttidsverdi) og E (EU har fastsatt grenseverdi for stoffet)



8. Nye grenseverdier

På grunnlag av drøftinger med partene og høringsuttalelser ble ny grenseverdi og korttidsverdi for 2-etylhexanol fastsatt til:

Grenseverdi (8-timer): 1 ppm, 5,4 mg/m³

Korttidsverdi (15 min): 10 ppm, 54 mg/m³

Anmerkninger: S (korttidsverdi) og E (EU har fastsatt grenseverdi for stoffet)



9. Referanser

1. Recommendation from the Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits for 2-ethylhexanol, SCOEL/SUM/158, mars 2011.
2. MAK, 2-Etylhexanol. 2000.
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/3527600418.mb10476kske0020/pdf>
3. MAK supplement, 2-Etylhexanol (supplement). 2012.
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/3527600418.mb10476kskd0053/pdf>
4. van Thriel, C., E. Kiesswetter, et al., "An integrative approach considering acute symptoms and intensity ratings of chemosensory sensations during experimental exposures." *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology* (2005) 19(3): 589-598. Thriel
5. van Thriel, C., E. Kiesswetter, et al., "From neurotoxic to chemosensory effects: new insights on acute solvent neurotoxicity exemplified by acute effects of 2-ethylhexanol." *Neurotoxicology* (2007) 28(2): 347-55.
6. Kiesswetter, E., C. v. Thriel, et al., "Eye blinks as indicator for sensory irritation during constant and peak exposures to 2-ethylhexanol." *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, (2005) 19(3): 531-541.
7. Ernstgard, L., et al., Acute effects of exposure to 1 mg/m³ of vaporized 2-ethyl-1-hexanol in humans. *Indoor Air*, (2010) 20(2): p. 168-75.
8. Api, A.M., et al., RIFM fragrance ingredient safety assessment, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, CAS registry number 104-76-7. *Food Chem Toxicol*, (2016) 97S: p. S147-S156.
9. REACHs CoRAP, og rapport forelå 2015.
10. Miyake, M., et al., Subchronic inhalation exposure to 2-ethyl-1-hexanol impairs the mouse olfactory bulb via injury and subsequent repair of the nasal olfactory epithelium. *Arch Toxicol*, (2016) 90(8): p. 1949-58.





**Recommendation from the Scientific
Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits
for 2-ethylhexanol**

SCOEL/SUM/158

March 2011



European Commission



Table of Contents

1. Occurrence/use and environmental exposure.....	4
2. Health significance.....	4
2.1 Toxicokinetics.....	4
2.1.1 Human data	4
2.1.2 Animal data	4
2.1.3 Biological exposure monitoring	5
2.2 Acute toxicity	5
2.2.1 Human data	5
2.2.2 Animal data	5
2.3 Irritation and corrosivity.....	5
2.3.1 Human data	5
2.3.2 Animal data	7
2.4 Sensitisation.....	7
2.4.1 Human data	7
2.4.2 Animal data	7
2.5 Repeated dose toxicity	7
2.5.1 Human data	7
2.5.2 Animal data	8
2.6 Genotoxicity	9
2.6.1 In vitro.....	9
2.6.2 In vivo – Human data	9
2.6.3 In vivo – Animal data.....	9
2.7 Carcinogenicity	10
2.7.1 Human data	10
2.7.2 Animal data	10
2.8 Reproductive toxicity	10
2.8.1 Human data	10
2.8.2 Animal data	10
Recommendations.....	11
References.....	14





Recommendation from the Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits for 2-ethylhexanol

8 hour TWA:	1 ppm
STEL (15 mins):	not assigned
Notation:	not assigned
BLV:	not assigned

1. Substance identification: 2-Ethylhexanol

Synonyms: 2-Ethylhexan-1-ol; Isooctanol; Octyl alcohol

EC No.: 203-234-3

Annex I Index No.: -



Classification: -

CAS No.: 104-76-7

MWt: 130.20

Conversion factor (20 °C, 101 kPa): 1 ppm = 5.42 mg/m³; 1 mg/m³ = 0.185 ppm

Structural formula:



This evaluation is based on BG-Chemie (1995), ECB (2000), Greim (2000, 2006), WHO (1993) and the references cited in these reviews, along with a literature search in August 2009.

Physico-chemical properties

2-Ethylhexanol (EH) is a colourless liquid with a mild, floral odour. The boiling point of the substance is 183.5 - 185 °C and the vapour pressure is 0.05 - 0.4 hPa at 20 °C. The water solubility of EH is 1 - 27 g/l at 20°C and the log octanol:water partition coefficient (P_{ow}) is 2.28. The substance has a density of 0.83 g/cm³ (BG-Chemie, 1995; ECB, 2000; WHO, 1993).





1. Occurrence/use and environmental exposure

EH is used as an intermediate in the production of plasticisers, e.g. diethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP) for polyvinylchloride (PVC) resins, hexyl esters and acrylates such as 2-ethylhexacrylate (Verschueren, 2001; BG-Chemie, 1995; ECB, 2000). EH is further used as a solvent in paint lacquer, inks, rubber, paper, dry cleaning, as a wetting agent in textiles and as a flavouring ingredient in food (WHO, 1993).

EH is emitted from plastic material, including new computers (Bako-Biro, Wargocki et al. 2004). It can also be emitted via alkaline degradation of plasticizers in damp floor constructions (Wieslander, Norback et al. 1999; Putus, Tuomainen et al. 2004; Kamijima, Shibata et al. 2005). Recently, it has been suggested that microbes can degrade phthalate plasticizers (Horn, Nalli et al. 2004), with formation of EH and 2-ethylhexanoic acid (Nalli, Horn et al. 2006). Degradation of plastic building materials may result in formation of EH by a variety of bacteria and fungi (Tuomainen, Seuri et al. 2004; Nalli, Horn et al. 2006). Air samples from a newly completed building showed concentrations up to 0.5 mg/m³ (Kamijima, Sakai et al. 2002). In a Japanese study the geometric means of measurement in 42 non-domestic buildings was about 0.02 mg/m³, the maximum concentration being 2.7 mg/m³ (Sakai, Kamijima et al. 2006).

2. Health significance

2.1 Toxicokinetics

EH is a primary metabolite of the plasticiser diethylhexylphthalate (DEHP) and other 2-ethylhexyl compounds in mammals (WHO, 1993).

2.1.1 Human data

No studies on toxicokinetics in humans *in vivo* are available. In a diffusion experiment by Barber et al. (1992), the absorption rate of human skin *in vitro* was 38 µg per cm² and hour.

2.1.2 Animal data

No quantitative data on the absorption by inhalation exposure are available. The occurrence of systemic toxic effects after inhalation exposure shows the efficient absorption by this route.

The toxicokinetics of EH in female rats were studied by Deisinger et al. (1994). After oral gavage of 50 or 500 mg/kg the absorption rate was about 80%, independent of the administered dose. No differences in absorption were likewise observed following repeated exposures. The dermal absorption rate after exposure to 1000 mg/kg was reported to be about 5% in this study. In a diffusion experiment by Barber et al. (1992), the absorption rate of rat skin *in vitro* was 215 µg per cm² and hour, i.e. about five times higher than in human skin.

In the study by Deisinger et al. (1994), the metabolism of EH was similar after oral and dermal exposure. The main metabolites in urine of orally treated rats were 2-ethylhexanoic acid, 5-hydroxy-2-ethylhexanoic acid, 6-hydroxy-2-ethylhexanoic acid and 2-ethyl-1,6-hexane diacid. Together, they represented 37 - 45% of the administered dose. Minor metabolites were 5-hydroxy-2-ethylhexanoic acid as well as lactones of 5-hydroxy-2-ethylhexanoic acid and 2-ethyl-5-hexanoic acid. They represented 3 - 5% of the administered dose. About 1% of the administered dose was recovered as 2-ethylhexanol. All these compounds were predominantly excreted as glucuronides (Deisinger et al., 1994). Albro (1975) reported the formation of about 50% 2-ethylhexanoic acid following a single oral exposure of rats to 275 mg/kg.





After gavage to rats, 95% of EH was eliminated within 96 h (mostly within 24 h). About 70% of the administered dose was excreted in urine, 13% in faeces and 11% in expired air. A similar elimination pattern was found after dermal exposure, with lower absolute amounts due to lower absorption following dermal exposure.

Older studies in mice and rats support the results of the most detailed study by Deisinger et al. (1994). The metabolism of EH (as a metabolite of DEHP) in monkeys proceeded slower than in rodents (BG-Chemie, 1995; WHO, 1993).

2.1.3 Biological exposure monitoring

There are no data available.

2.2 Acute toxicity

2.2.1 Human data

Human data on effects of acute exposure are not available.

2.2.2 Animal data

The inhalation LC_{50} (4 h) of EH in rats was more than 890 mg/m³ (> 164 ppm) and less than 5300 mg/m³ (< 978 ppm) (BG-Chemie, 1995). A single 6 h inhalation exposure of rats, mice and guinea pigs to 227 ppm (1230 mg/m³) produced a moderate irritation of the eyes, nose and throat, as well as a decreased motility and dyspnoea. The animals revealed slightly congested lungs with areas of haemorrhages (Scala and Burtis, 1973). When rats were exposed to 164 ppm (890 mg/m³) for 4 h, there were no signs of irritation, but the animals were hypoactive (Bio/Dynamics, 1989). The oral LD_{50} in rats was 2049 - 7000 mg/kg. The dermal LD_{50} was 1980 to more than 2600 mg/kg in rabbits and more than 3000 mg/kg in rats. Symptoms of acute intoxication were apathy, dyspnoea, cyanosis, loss of coordination, staggering and ataxia (BG-Chemie, 1995; WHO, 1993).

2.3 Irritation and corrosivity

2.3.1 Human data

Inhalation exposure

Reported odour thresholds for EH are 0.4 - 0.73 mg/m³ (0.08 ppm - 0.13 ppm) (Ruth, 1986).

Van Thriel and colleagues (van Thriel, Seeber et al. 2003; Kiesswetter, Thriel et al. 2005; van Thriel, Kiesswetter et al. 2005; van Thriel, Kiesswetter et al. 2007) investigated chemosensory perception, signs of eye (blink frequency) and nasal (air flow, substance P) irritation, and performance in demanding neurobehavioral tasks during exposure to EH under controlled conditions in an exposure chamber. The subjects were either healthy young men with self-reported multiple chemical sensitivity or healthy "controls". Three exposure levels, 1.5, 10, and 20 ppm (corresponding to 8, 54 and 108 mg/m³), were investigated in randomized sequences. The exposures were either constant or variable (but with same average level). The variable exposures consisted of five peaks evenly spread over the 4-hour exposures, each reaching twice the average level.

The rated intensity of chemosensory perceptions showed a clear concentration dependency. Overall, the average ratings of annoyance corresponded approximately to "moderate" at 1.5 ppm, "strong" at 10 ppm and very strong" at 20 ppm, on the Labeled Magnitude Scale. The corresponding ratings of eye irritation and nasal irritation were





“weak”, “moderate” and “strong”, respectively. Also the acute symptom scores in the SPES (Swedish Performance Evaluation System, (Iregren 1998)) were clearly concentration-dependent and was increased during the exposures at all three levels. Little difference in ratings was seen between the 27 “normal” and the 19 chemically sensitive men and between constant and fluctuating exposure. (van Thriel, Kiesswetter et al. 2005; van Thriel, Kiesswetter et al. 2007). Overall, as the ratings of nasal and eye irritation were minor at 1.5 ppm, this level is considered as the NOAEL for sensory irritation.

An additional analysis was performed on physiological measurements related to nasal irritation. Concentration-dependent reductions in nasal air flow and increases in substance P in nasal lavage were seen during exposure to EH at the three exposure levels of 1.5, 10 and 20 ppm. The changes were statistically significant only at the highest exposure (van Thriel, Seeber et al. 2003). The measurements suggest a NOAEL for acute irritation/inflammation of 20 ppm.

In addition, eye irritation of EH was assessed by electromyographic eye blink recordings as an indicator of sensory irritation. Each exposure (1.5, 10 and 20 ppm, constant and variable exposure) was carried out with two healthy young men with self-reported multiple chemical sensitivity and age matched controls. Strong concentration–response relationships between airborne solvent concentrations and blink rates were seen, the increases in frequency being statistically significant at the 10 and 20 ppm conditions. During the 40 ppm peak exposures (two 20 ppm) the blink rate increased threefold. In the course of 4 h, exposure blink rates increased significantly showing no adaptation. Subjects with chemical sensitivity revealed no significantly higher blink rates than controls (Kiesswetter, Thriel et al. 2005). The study indicates a NOAEL for eye irritation of 1.5 ppm and a LOAEL of 10 ppm.

The performance in the vigilance test was not affected by the different exposures. Moreover, the results of neurobehavioral tests measuring executive function were neither affected by the exposure level nor by the exposure peaks (van Thriel, Kiesswetter et al. 2007). The study indicates a NOAEL of 20 ppm for neurobehavioral impairment.

The various results in the human volunteer studies by van Thriel et al. described above are consistent with those in a more recent one by Ernstgård et al. (2009). In the latter study, 16 males and 14 females were exposed in random order to 1 mg/m³ (0.2 ppm) EH or to clean air for 2 h during resting conditions. The subjects performed symptom ratings on 0-100 mm Visual Analogue Scales. The ratings of nasal irritation, throat irritation, headache, dyspnoea, fatigue, dizziness, nausea and intoxication were not significantly affected by exposure to EH. The ratings of smell and eye discomfort were minimally but significantly increased. On average, the ratings of eye irritation increased from “not at all” (0 mm) during exposure to clean air to “hardly” (7 mm) during EH exposure. No exposure-related effects on the measurements of blink frequency by electromyography, eye tear-film break-up time, vital staining of the eye, nasal lavage biomarkers, transfer tests, or by spirometry and rhinometry, were seen. No differences in response were seen between sexes or between atopics and non-atopics (Ernstgård et al. submitted).

Skin exposure

Exposure with a cotton cloth soaked with EH for 5 h produced slight hyperaemia, but no sensation of irritation or pain in one subject (Mellon Institute, 1940).

In a pilot study to a sensitisation test, EH (4% solution in paraffin oil) was slightly irritating to the human skin (Opdyke, 1979).





2.3.2 Animal data

Skin

Undiluted EH was severely irritating to the skin of rabbits (score 6.75 of 8, maximal) in an acute study by Hüls (1987a) according to OECD guideline 404. Results from other studies were similar (BG-Chemie, 1995).

In a developmental toxicity study by Tyl et al. (1992), pregnant rats were dermally exposed for 6 hours to 252, 420, 840, 1680 and 2520 mg of undiluted EH per kg and day on gestation days 6 - 15. Skin irritation was measured before and after each application. Signs of irritation were produced by application of 420 mg/kg per day and above, consisting of mild and included exfoliation, encrustation and erythema.

Signs of irritation (slight reddening and crusting of the skin) were also observed in a study by Schmidt et al. (1973) after repeated dermal non-occlusive exposure of rats to 2 ml (1.67 g) EH per application. Further effects of this study are described in the section "Repeated dose toxicity".

Eyes

Single inhalation exposure of rats, mice and guinea pigs to 227 ppm (1230 mg/m³) for 6 h produced moderate irritation of the eyes (Scala and Burtis, 1973). There were no signs of irritation after single exposure of rats to 164 ppm (890 mg/m³) for 4 h (Bio/Dynamics, 1989).

Undiluted EH was moderately irritating by instillation into the eyes of rabbits (score 28.6 of 110, maximal) in a study by Hüls (1987b) according to OECD guideline 405. Other studies yielded similar results (BG-Chemie, 1995), while severe eye irritation (according to Draize) was observed in one rabbit study by Scala and Burtis (1973).

Respiratory tract

Single inhalation exposure of rats, mice and guinea pigs to 227 ppm (1230 mg/m³) for 6 h produced moderate irritation of the nose and throat (Scala and Burtis, 1973). The reported RD₅₀ value (concentration causing a 50% depression of the respiratory rate due to sensory irritation of the respiratory tract) in OF1 mice was 44 ppm (238 mg/m³) (Alarie et al., 2001, Schaper, 1993).

2.4 Sensitisation

2.4.1 Human data

There were no indications of sensitising action in workers of an EH production site (BG-Chemie, 1995). EH was tested for sensitisation in 29 subjects in a study by Opdyke (1979), according to the method of Kligman. Skin areas were pretreated with 5% sodium lauryl sulphate for 24 h. The induction was then performed four times for 28 h each with a cotton cloth soaked in a 4% solution of EH in paraffin oil. The challenge was performed with 4% EH for 48 h. None of the subjects showed any allergic reactions.

2.4.2 Animal data

Studies on sensitisation in animals are not available.

2.5 Repeated dose toxicity

2.5.1 Human data

Hollenbach et al. (1972) reported that laboratory workers exposed to EH complained of headaches, dizziness, fatigue and gastrointestinal disorders. The workers also had slightly





decreased blood pressure during the day. Because there was co-exposure to other substances, no definite conclusions can be drawn from these results.

A number of studies indicate respiratory effects of dampness in PVC floor coverings and that EH might be a causative factor (Norback, Bjornsson et al. 1999, Bornehag, Sundell et al. 2005, Janson, Norback et al. 2005, Wieslander, Norback et al. 1999 Norback, Wieslander et al. 2000, Tuomainen, Seuri et al. 2004, Tuomainen, Stark et al. 2006, Putus, Tuomainen et al. 2004, Kamijima, Sakai et al. 2002, Kamijima, Shibata et al. 2005). However, no firm conclusions can be drawn from the above studies with respect to the relation between EH and the reported effects, as the contribution of other agents in the indoor environment is unknown.

2.5.2 Animal data

Inhalation

Wistar rats (10 per sex and group) were exposed by inhalation to 0, 15, 40 and 120 ppm (81, 217 and 650 mg/m³) on 5 d/w, 6 h/d for 90 days. The test was carried out according to OECD guideline 413 (Klimisch et al., 1998). No signs of irritation were reported. There was no treatment-related toxicity (including peroxisome proliferation) even at the highest exposure concentration (NOAEL 120 ppm).

Oral

The Mellon Institute (1961a, b) exposed DW rats (10 per sex and group) orally for 90 days to EH in feed at concentrations of 100 - 12500 mg/kg (7 - 833 mg/kg per day). At the highest concentration, there were histological lesions of the liver and kidney. The NOAEL of this study was 2500 mg/kg feed (176 mg/kg per day).

F344 rats and B6C3F1 mice (10 per sex and group for each species) were orally exposed for 3 months to EH by gavage on 5 d/w at doses of 0, 25, 125, 250 and 500 mg/kg per day (BASF AG, 1991a, b). In the rat study, effects were observed at doses of 250 mg/kg per day and above, consisting of retarded body weight gain, alterations in clinical chemical and haematological parameters and increased organ weights as well as acanthosis of the mucosa of the forestomach and fatty infiltration of the liver lobules. An increase in peroxisome proliferation (identified by an increased activity of the marker enzyme cyanide-insensitive palmitoyl-CoA-oxidase) was also found. No effects were observed in rats at doses up to 125 mg/kg per day (NOAEL of the rat study). In the mice study, no alterations in clinical chemical and haematological parameters were evident. The stomach weights were increased in males at the 2 higher doses, but the effect was not clearly dose-dependent. Fat deposition in the liver was significantly increased and acanthosis of the forestomach mucosa was observed in some animals of the 500 mg/kg per day group. There were no signs of peroxisome proliferation in mice at all doses tested. The NOAEL of the mice study was 125 mg/kg per day.

Numerous *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies were performed regarding the potency of EH to induce hepatic peroxisome proliferation in various species. This effect was observed predominantly in rats and dogs, but only to a low extent in human or monkey cells (BG-Chemie, 1995).

Two studies of Astill et al. (1996) were made with rats and mice, used also as a carcinogenicity studies. In the study with rats, F344 rats received oral doses of 0, 50, 150 and 500 mg/kg per day EH in aqueous emulsion by gavage on 5 d/w for 24 months. Animals of the high dose group showed clinical signs of toxicity, increased mortality, retarded body weight gain and increased organ weights. The animals of these groups revealed congestion of the liver and lung, the males had increased incidences in prostate atrophy. In the mid dose animals, a reduced body weight gain, increased organ weights and clinical signs of toxicity were evident. No effects occurred at the lowest dose (NOAEL





50 mg/kg per day). In the study with mice, animals received oral doses of 0, 50, 200 and 750 mg/kg per day EH in aqueous emulsion by gavage on 5 d/w for 18 months. At the highest dose, there was an increase in mortality and a retardation of body weight gain in both sexes as well as haematological disturbances. No effects could be seen at the two lower doses (NOAEL 200 mg/kg per day).

Dermal

Repeated dermal exposure of rats to high doses (12 non-occlusive applications of 1.67 g EH each) produced skin irritation, body weight reduction and histopathological alterations in organs (Schmidt et al., 1973).

Bushy Run Research Centre (1988) exposed rats dermally to 0, 417 and 834 mg/kg per day EH (9 occlusive applications for 6 h each within 12 days). Females of the higher dose revealed lymphopenia and decreased spleen weight. Increased triglyceride levels were observed in all exposed females. Histopathological lesions were restricted to the site of application.

2.6 Genotoxicity

2.6.1 In vitro

EH was extensively tested for mutagenicity in bacteria. Studies with *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, TA1538 and TA2637 used the standard or the preincubation assay with and without metabolic activation. Furthermore, bacteria were exposed to urine of EH-treated rats. All of these experiments yielded negative results, except one Ames test by Seed et al. (1982) with TA100, in which a weak mutagenic response was observed without metabolic activation. However, an unusual protocol was used in this test (not the his-gene reversion was analysed, but the azaguanine resistance mutation) and only one strain was tested (BG-Chemie, 1995; ECB, 2000). Studies with *Bacillus subtilis*, strain H17M45 did not show a mutagenic effect (Tomita et al., 1982). DNA repair tests in *E. coli* (polA⁺/polA⁻) yielded conflicting results: EH was positive, when ethanol was used as vehicle, but negative, when DMSO was the vehicle (MRI, 1981).

No gene mutations were observed in L5178Y mouse lymphoma cells and in CHO hamster cells. EH was tested with and without metabolic activation up to concentrations which produced cytotoxicity (Kirby et al., 1983; LBI, 1985).

EH did not induce chromosomal aberrations in CHO hamster cells in vitro (without metabolic activation; Phillips et al., 1982) and did not cause unscheduled DNA synthesis in primary rat hepatocytes (Hodgson et al., 1982).

2.6.2 In vivo – Human data

Human data on genotoxic effects in vivo are not available.

2.6.3 In vivo – Animal data

Mice were given either one or two intraperitoneal doses of 456 mg/kg each. The repeated exposure produced a significant increase in micronuclei in polychromatic erythrocytes (LBI, 1982). According to the authors, this should be regarded as a false positive response, as the incidences were within the range of historical control values and the values of the concurrent controls were unusually low.

There was no induction of chromosomal aberrations in the bone marrow of rats treated orally with doses of 16.7 - 167 mg/kg per day on 5 consecutive days. Only 50 metaphases per animal were evaluated (Putman et al., 1983).

A negative result was reported in a dominant lethal test with mice (exposure of male animals to oral doses of 250 - 1000 mg/kg per day for 5 days with subsequent mating with untreated females) (Rushbrook et al., 1982).





EH did not bind covalently to murine liver DNA after oral exposure of mice to diethylhexyl adipate or diethylhexyl phthalate for 4 weeks, followed by a single dose of radioactively labelled EH in doses of 51 - 120 mg/kg (von Däniken et al., 1984).

2.7 Carcinogenicity

2.7.1 Human data

Human data on carcinogenic effects are not available.

2.7.2 Animal data

Two carcinogenicity studies of Astill et al. (1996) were made one with rats and another one with mice. In the study with rats, F344 rats received oral doses of 0, 50, 150 and 500 mg/kg per day EH in aqueous emulsion by gavage on 5 d/w for 24 months. The main study used 50 rats per sex and group, satellite studies were performed with 10 animals per sex and group (examination at 18 months of exposure) and 50 animals per sex and group (18 months exposure to EH, 6 months recovery period). EH was not carcinogenic in rats under the conditions of this study. In the study with mice, B6C3F1 mice received oral doses of 0, 50, 200 and 750 mg/kg per day EH in aqueous emulsion by gavage on 5 d/w for 18 months. The main study used 50 mice per sex and group, satellite studies were conducted with 10 animals per sex and group (examination at 13 months of exposure) and 50 animals per sex and group (13 months exposure to EH, 5 months recovery period). Female mice of the highest dose group of the main study showed a significant increase in hepatocellular carcinomas and of basophilic liver foci compared to the vehicle control. The incidences were not increased compared to the control group with gavage administration of water or to historic control values, when evaluated on the basis of 50 animals. Based on the number of survivors, the adjusted incidences were greater than that for historical control values. Therefore, EH was evaluated by the authors as an equivocal or weak carcinogen.

2.8 Reproductive toxicity

2.8.1 Human data

No relevant human data reported.

2.8.2 Animal data

Fertility

No studies on reproduction (fertility) with inhalation exposure to EH are available. Adverse effects in relation to this endpoint were not observed in an oral study with exposure of rats to 5 daily doses of 352 mg/kg per day (Sjöberg et al., 1986), but significant increases in prostate atrophy were reported in the study by Astill et al. (1996) in rats after chronic exposure to 500 mg/kg per day (NOAEL 50 mg/kg per day). Histopathological alterations (interstitial oedema, reduced spermiogenesis) were found in the testes of rats after repeated non-occlusive dermal exposure to 2 ml (1.67 g) EH per administration (Schmidt et al., 1973). Further effects of this study are described in section "repeated dose toxicity".

In vitro studies revealed no adverse effects of EH on sertoli cells or seminal vesicles (BG-Chemie, 1995; WHO, 1993).

Developmental toxicity

Groups of 15 pregnant Sprague-Dawley rats were exposed for 7 h/day to air or to an atmosphere saturated with EH vapour (according to the authors approximately 850 mg/m³ or 160 ppm) on gestation days 1 - 9 (Nelson et al., 1988, 1989). EH reduced maternal feed intake, but no developmental effects were observed.





Pregnant Wistar rats were exposed to one oral dose of 0, 6.25 and 12.5 mmol/kg (814 and 1628 mg/kg per day) by gavage on day 12 of gestation. Seven litters were examined on day 20 of gestation. The treatment resulted in statistically significant and dose-related increases in malformed foetuses (controls: 0; 6.25 mmol/kg: 2.0%; 12.5 mmol/kg: 22.2%). In addition, foetal weights were reduced at the higher dose (et al., 1987). Because of the administered high doses (about half the LD₅₀), maternal toxicity is not unlikely, but no information on maternal toxicity was given in this study.

In a study by Hellwig and Jäckh (1997), pregnant Wistar rats (10 animals per group) were gavaged with doses of 0, 130, 650 and 1300 mg/kg per day on gestation days 6 - 15. No adverse substance-related effects were seen in dams or foetuses at the lowest dose. Exposure to 650 mg/kg per day caused first signs of maternal toxicity (2 animals with piloerection), slightly reduced foetal weights and an increased incidence of skeletal variation and retardation. Exposure to the highest dose resulted in marked maternal toxicity (increased mortality, severe clinical symptoms of toxicity, organ damage) as well as effects in the offspring (increased number of resorptions and post implantation loss, marked reduction of foetal weights, increased number of visceral and skeletal malformations, skeletal variation and retardation). The NOAEL of this study was 130 mg/kg per day for maternal and developmental effects.

Pregnant CD-1 mice (28 animals per group) were exposed to EH via feed at concentrations of 0, 0.009, 0.03 and 0.09% (13, 43 and 129 mg/kg per day) on gestation days 0 - 17. Up to the highest dose, there were neither signs of maternal toxicity nor effects on fertility and development of the offspring (Price et al., 1991).

In a study by Tyl et al. (1992), pregnant F344 rats (8 animals per group in a range-finding study, 25 per group in the main study) were dermally exposed to 0, 252, 420, 840, 1680 and 2520 mg/kg per day undiluted EH on gestation days 6 - 15 for 6 h/d. Exposed animals showed skin irritation (see section "irritation and corrosivity"). Maternal toxicity was evident in form of a significantly decreased body weight gain at doses of 1680 mg/kg per day and above (maternal NOAEL 840 mg/kg per day). There were no developmental effects in all treated groups (developmental NOAEL 2520 mg/kg per day).

Methods of exposure monitoring and analysis

OSHA method PV2033 is only partially validated. Samples are collected by drawing a known volume of air through a charcoal tube. Samples are desorbed with 1 mL of 1:99 dimethyl formamide: carbon disulfide and analyzed by gas chromatography with a flame ionization detector (GC-FID). The overall detection limit is 0.78 ppm based on a 10 L air sample.

Recommendations

Systemic toxicity:

Neurotoxicity is a typical endpoint of short-chained aliphatic alcohols, but there are only few data regarding this action of EH or similar substances. Headache, dizziness and fatigue were reported during occupational exposure to EH and other substances, but no exposure concentration was stated (Hollenbach et al., 1972). Single inhalation exposure of animals to concentrations of 164 ppm and above provoked clinical signs of central nervous depression (Bio/Dynamics, 1989; Scala and Burtis, 1973). No data was found concerning more subtle neurological effects in humans or animals.





EH is a peroxisome proliferator. The most sensitive species for this type of response are rats and dogs. Peroxisome proliferation in mice, humans or monkeys is less pronounced (BG-Chemie, 1995). EH and its main metabolite 2-ethylhexanoic acid were equipotent in this respect (Keith et al. 1992).

Studies with chronic oral exposure revealed NOAEL values of 50 mg/kg per day for rats and 200 mg/kg per day for mice (Astill et al., 1996). Applying route-to-route extrapolation, it is evident that systemic effects are not expected to occur at non-irritating concentrations.

Reproductive toxicity:

No maternal or developmental effects were observed in rats or mice exposed to concentrations of about 850 mg/m³ (160 ppm) EH (Nelson et al., 1988, 1989) or oral doses up to 1300 mg/kg per day (Hellwig and Jäckh, 1997; Price et al., 1991). Thus, no developmental effects are to be expected at non-irritating concentrations.

Higher doses were toxic to the dams and produced embryotoxic, foetotoxic and teratogenic effects (Ritter et al., 1987; Hellwig and Jäckh, 1997). The concern for developmental toxicity at higher doses is supported by the observation of marked foetotoxicity and teratogenicity in various studies with 2-ethylhexanoic acid (EHA), the main metabolite of EH. A comparison of the corresponding LOAEL and NOAEL for EHA (Pennanen et al., 1992) with the NOAEL of EH (Hellwig and Jäckh, 1997; Price et al., 1991) showed that developmental risks due to EHA are not substantially higher than those posed by EH.

Genotoxicity and carcinogenicity:

Most of the available mutagenicity tests *in vitro* and *in vivo* yielded negative results. Liver tumours were observed only in mice and not in rats (Astill et al., 1996). As there was no indication of peroxisome proliferation in mice studies (but in rats) at doses higher than those chosen in the carcinogenicity studies (BASF AG, 1991a, b), peroxisome proliferation is probably not causative in the tumour formation. Because the tumourigenic dose in the mouse study exceeded the maximal tolerated dose (reduced body weight gain, increased mortality, liver and stomach lesions), cytotoxicity may have contributed to the carcinogenic effects. Furthermore, the B6C3F1 strain is especially sensitive to carcinogenic effects in the liver (Greim, 2000).

Irritation

The critical effect of EH is irritation of the eyes and airways. The human exposure chamber study by van Thriel and colleagues (van Thriel, Seeber et al. 2003; Kiesswetter, Thriel et al. 2005; van Thriel, Kiesswetter et al. 2005; van Thriel, Kiesswetter et al. 2007) showed concentration-dependent increases in self-rated eye irritation, nasal irritation and annoyance. The effects were seen at all levels tested, 1.5, 10 and 20 ppm, with both constant and variable exposures. The symptoms are supported by objective measurements, namely increased blink frequency at 10 and 20 ppm, and decreased nasal air flow and increased substance P in nasal lavage at 20 ppm. No objective effects were seen at 1.5 ppm and the self-reported irritation symptoms were minimal. Hence, a NOAEL for irritation of 1.5 ppm may be inferred from the study.

Additional tests were carried out in a human exposure chamber study by Ernstgård et al. (2009) showed a minimal but statistically significant increase in the rating of eye irritation in subjects exposed at 1 mg/m³ (0.2 ppm) EH for 2 hours. The ratings of nasal irritation, throat





irritation, headache, dyspnoea, fatigue, dizziness, nausea and intoxication were not significantly affected. Further, no exposure-related effects on blink frequency, eye tear film break-up time, vital staining of the eye, nasal lavage biomarkers, transfer tests, or spirometric and rhinometric measures were seen. The negative findings in the Ernstgård et al. study, including several objective measurements, add additional support to the results by van Thriel et al.

No signs of irritation could be detected in rats repeatedly exposed by inhalation to 120 ppm (650 mg/m³) or in rats, mice or guinea pigs exposed once to 164 ppm (890 mg/m³) (Klimisch et al., 1998; Bio/Dynamics, 1989). Irritation was evident after a single inhalation exposure of rats for 6 h to 227 ppm (1230 mg/m³) (Scala and Burtis, 1973).

Based on the referred human exposure chamber studies, the health based 8-h OEL for 2-ethylhexanol is set to 1 ppm.

Other assignments:

Skin sensitisation was not observed in a study on 29 volunteers (Opdyke, 1979). Adequate animal studies are not available.

A "skin" notation is not considered necessary since the systemic toxicity of EH is very low.

No measurement difficulties are foreseen at the recommended OEL.



References

- Alarie, Y.; Nielsen, G.D.; Schaper, M.M., 2001
Animal bioassays for evaluation of indoor air quality. Chapter 23
In: Spengler, J.D.; McCarthy, J.F.; Samet, J.M., Indoor Air Quality Handbook. McGraw-Hill, 2001, 23.1-23.49
- Albro, P.W., 1975
The metabolism of 2-ethylhexanol in rats
Xenobiotica, 10, 1975, 625-636, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995 and WHO, 1993
- Astill, B.D.; Gingell, R.; Guest, D.; Hellwig, J.; Hodgson, J.R.; Kuetler, K.; Mellert, W.; Murphy, S.R.; Sielken, R.L.; Tyler, T.R., 1996
Oncogenicity testing of 2-ethylhexanol in Fischer 344 rats and B6C3F1 mice
Fundamental and Applied Toxicology, 31, 1996, 29-41
- Barber, E.D.; Teetsel, N.M.; Kolberg, K.F.; Guest, D., 1992
A comparative study of the rates of in vitro percutaneous absorption of eight chemicals using rat and human skin
Fundamental and Applied Toxicology, 19, 1992, 493-497
- BASF AG, Abteilung Toxikologie, 1991a
Report on the Study of the Oral Toxicity of 2-Ethylhexanol in Rats after Administration by Gavage (Aqueous Emulsion) for 3 Months
unveröffentlichter Bericht, Project No 31C0631/87077
im Auftrag der Chemical Manufacturers Association, Washington, USA, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- BASF AG, Abteilung Toxikologie, 1991b
Report on a Limited Study of the Oral Toxicity of 2-Ethylhexanol in Rats after Administration by Gavage (Aqueous Emulsion) for 3 Months
unveröffentlichter Bericht, Project No 31C0631/87082
im Auftrag der Chemical Manufacturers Association, Washington, USA, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- BG-Chemie, Berufsgenossenschaft der chemischen Industrie, 1995
2-Ethylhexanol (Nr. 114)
Toxikologische Bewertungen, Programm zur Verhütung von Gesundheitsschädigungen durch Arbeitsstoffe
Loseblattsammlung, 12. Erg. Lfg., Heidelberg, 1995
- Bio/Dynamics, 1989
An Acute Inhalation Study of C-1257 (2-Ethylhexanol) in the Rat
Project No. 88-8085, im Auftrag der Hoechst-Celanese Corporation, NTIS/OTS 0520664, Doc-ID 86-890001535, NTIS, Springfield, VA, USA, 1989, cited in Greim, 2000
- Bushy Run Research Centre, Pennsylvania, USA, 1988
2-Ethylhexanol (2EH): Nine-Day Dermal, Oral Gavage, and Drinking Water Probe Studies in Rats
Bericht Nr. 50-614
im Auftrag der Chemical Manufacturers Association, Washington, USA
NTIS/OTS 0516482, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- Deisinger, P.J.; Boatman, R.J.; Guest, D., 1994
Metabolism of 2-ethylhexanol administered orally and dermally to the female Fischer 344 rat
Xenobiotica, 24, 1994, 429-440
- ECB, European Chemicals Bureau, 2000
IUCLID, International Uniform Chemical Information Database





- European Commission, 2000
<http://ecb.jrc.it/esis/esis.php?PGM=ora&DEPUIS=autre>
- Ernstgård L, Norbäck D, Nordquist T, Wieslander G, Wålinder R, Johanson G, 2009?
Acute effects of exposure to vapours of 2-ethyl-1-hexanol in humans. *Indoor Air* (accepted)
- Greim, H., 2000
Gesundheitsschädliche Arbeitsstoffe, Toxikologisch-arbeitsmedizinische Begründungen von MAK-Werten, Loseblattsammlung, 30. Lfg.
DFG, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, WILEY-VCH Verlag, Weinheim, 2000
- Greim, H., 2006
Gesundheitsschädliche Arbeitsstoffe, Toxikologisch-arbeitsmedizinische Begründungen von MAK-Werten, Loseblattsammlung, 41. Lfg.
DFG, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, WILEY-VCH Verlag, Weinheim, 2006
- Hellwig, J.; Jäckh, R., 1997
Differential prenatal toxicity of one straight-chain and five branched-chain primary alcohols in rats
Food and Chemical Toxicology, **35**, 1997, 489-500
- Hodgson, J.R.; Myhr, B.C.; McKeon, M.; Brusick, D.J.; 1982
Evaluation of di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and its major metabolites in the primary rat hepatocyte unscheduled DANN synthesis assay
Environmental Mutagenesis, **4**, 1982, 388-389, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- Hollenbach, K.; Schmidt, P.; Stremmel, B., 1972
Tierexperimentelle Untersuchungen zur Blutdruckwirksamkeit von Thioglykolsäureisooctylester, Thioglykolsäure und 2-Anthylhexanol
Zeitschrift für die gesamte Hygiene, **18**, 1972, 481, cited in WHO, 1993
- Hüls AG, Ps-Biologie/Toxikologie, 1987a
Prüfung der akuten Hautreizung von 2-Ethylhexanol
unveröffentlichter Bericht Nr. 1047, 1987, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- Hüls AG, Ps-Biologie/Toxikologie, 1987b
Prüfung der akuten Augen- und Schleimhautreizung von 2-Ethylhexanol
unveröffentlichter Bericht Nr. 1048, 1987, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- Keith, Y.; Cornu, M.C.; Canning, P.M.; Foster, J.; Lhuguenot, J.C.; Elcombe, C.R., 1992
Peroxisome proliferation due to (2-diethylhexyl) adipate, 2-ethylhexanol and 2-ethylhexanoic acid
Archives of Toxicology, **66**, 1992, 321-326
- Kirby, P.E.; Pizzarello, R.F.; Lawlor, T.E.; Haworth, S.R.; Hodgson, J.R., 1983
Evaluation of di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate and its major metabolites in the Ames test and L5178Y mouse lymphoma mutagenicity assay
Environmental Mutagenesis, **5**, 1983, 657-663, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- Klimisch, H.-J.; Deckardt, K.; Gemhardt, C.; Hildebrand, B., 1998
Subchronic inhalation toxicity study of 2-ethylhexanol vapour in rats
Food and Chemical Toxicology, **36**, 1998, 165-168
- LBI, Litton Bionetics, Inc., Kensington, Maryland, USA, 1982
Mutagenicity Evaluation of 2-ethylhexanol (2-EH) in the Mouse Micronucleus Test
unveröffentlichter Bericht LBI Project No. 20996
im Auftrag der Chemical Manufacturers Association, Washington, USA, 1982, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- LBI, Litton Bionetics, Inc., Kensington, Maryland, USA, 1985
Evaluation of 2-ethylhexanol (2-EH) in the CHO/HGPRT forward mutation assay
Bericht LBI Project No. 20989





- im Auftrag der Chemical Manufacturers Association, Washington, USA, 1985
NTIS/OTS 0508498, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995 and ECB, 2000
- Mellon Institute of Industrial Research, University of Pittsburgh, 1940
Summary of the toxicity of Octyl Alcohol (2-Ethyl Hexanol)
Bericht, Industrial Fellowship No. 274-3
im Auftrag der Carbide & Carbon Chemicals Corporation. NTIS/OTS 0515545, 1940,
cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- Mellon Institute of Industrial Research, University of Pittsburgh, 1961a
Gross Results of Three Months of Inclusion of 2-Ethyl Hexanol in the Diet of Rats – Spezial
Report
Bericht Nr. 23-61
im Auftrag der Union Carbide Chemicals Co. NTIS/OTS 0515388, 1961, cited in BG-
Chemie, 1995
- Mellon Institute of Industrial Research, University of Pittsburgh, 1961b
Results of Three Months of Inclusion of 2-Ethyl Hexanol in the Diet of Rats – Pathology
Report
Bericht Nr. 24-8
im Auftrag der Union Carbide Chemicals Co. NTIS/OTS 0515388, 1961, cited in BG-
Chemie, 1995
- MRI, Midwest Research Institute, Kansas City, USA, 1981
Evaluation of 2-ethylhexanol in the E. coli DANN repair-suspension assay
Bericht, MRI Project No. 4822-E
im Auftrag der Tenneco Chemicals, Inc., Saddle Brook, New Jersey, USA, 1981
NTIS/OTS 0515130, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995 and ECB, 2000
- Nelson, B.K.; Brightwell, W.S.; Khan, A.; Hoberman, A.M.; Krieg, E.F., 1988
Teratological evaluation of 1-pentanol, 1-hexanol and 2-ethyl-1-hexanol administered
by inhalation to rats
Teratology, **37**, 1988, 479-480, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- Nelson, B.K.; Brightwell, W.S.; Khan, A.; Krieg, E.F.; Hoberman, A.M., 1989
Developmental toxicology evaluation of 1-pentanol, 1-hexanol, and 2-ethyl-1-hexanol
administered by inhalation to rats
Journal of the American College of Toxicology, **8**, 1989, 405-410, cited in BG-Chemie,
1995
- Opdyke, D.L.J., 1979
Fragrance raw materials monographs. 2-Ethylhexanol
Food and Cosmetics Toxicology, **17**, 1979, 775-777, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- Pennanen, S.; Tuovinen, K.; Huuskonen, H.; Komulainen, H., 1992
The developmental toxicity of 2-ethylhexanoic acid in Wistar rats
Fundamental and Applied Toxicology, **19**, 1992, 505-511
- Phillips, J.B.; James, T.E.B.; Gangolli, S.D., 1982
Genotoxicity studies of di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and its metabolites in CHO cells
Mutation Research, **102**, 1982, 297-304, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- Price, C.J.; Tyl, R.W.; Marr, M.C.; Myers, C.B.; Morrissey, R.E.; Heindel, J.J.; Schwetz, B.A., 1991
Developmental toxicity evaluation of DEHP metabolites in Swiss mice
Teratology, **43**, 1991, 457, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- Putman, D.L.; Moore, W.A.; Schechtman, L.M.; Hodgson, J.R., 1983
Cytogenetic evaluation of di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and its major metabolites in Fischer
344 rats
Environmental Mutagenesis, **5**, 1983, 227-231, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- Ritter, E.J.; Scott, W.J.; Randall, J.L.; Ritter, J.M., 1987
Teratogenicity of di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, 2-ethylhexanol, 2-ethylhexanoic acid, and





- valproic acid, and potentiation by caffeine
Teratology, **35**, 1987, 41-46, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- Rushbrook, C.J.; Jorgenson, T.A.; Hodgson, J.R., 1982
Dominant lethal study of di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate and its major metabolites in ICR/SIM mice
Environmental Mutagenesis, **4**, 1982, 387, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- Ruth, J.H., 1986
Odor thresholds and irritation levels of several chemical substances: a review
American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal, **47**, 1986, A142-A151
- Scala, R.A.; Burtis, E.G., 1973
Acute toxicity of a homologous series of branched-chain primary alcohols
American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal, **34**, 1973, 493-499
- Schaper, M., 1993
Development of a database for sensory irritants and its use in establishing occupational exposure limits
American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal, **54**, 1993, 488-544
- Schmidt, P.; Gohlke, R.; Rothe, R., 1973
Zur Toxizität einiger C8-Aldehyde und -Alkohole
Zeitschrift für die gesamte Hygiene, **19**, 1973, 485-490, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- Seed, J.L., 1982
Mutagenic activity of phthalate esters in bacterial liquid suspension assays
Environmental Health Perspectives, **45**, 1982, 111-114, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995 and ECB, 2000
- Sjöberg, P.; Bondesson, U.; Gray, T.J.B.; Plöen, L., 1986
Effects of di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate and five of its metabolites on rat testis in vivo and in vitro
Acta Pharmacologica et Toxicologica, **58**, 1986, 225-233, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995
- Tomita, L.; Nakamura, Y.; Aoki, N.; Inui, N., 1982
Mutagenic/carcinogenic potential of DEHP and MEHP
Environmental Health Perspectives, **45**, 1992, 119-125, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995 and ECB, 2000
- Tyl, R.W.; Fisher, L.C.; Kubena, M.F.; Vrbanic, M.A.; Gingell, R.; Guest, D.; Hodgson, J.R.; Murphy, S.R.; Tyler, T.R.; Astill, B.D., 1992
The developmental toxicity of 2-ethylhexanol applied dermally to pregnant Fischer 344 rats
Fundamental and Applied Toxicology, **19**, 1992, 176-185, cited in BG-Chemie, 1995 and WHO, 1993
- von Däniken, A.; Lutz, W.K.; Jäckh, R.; Schlatter, C., 1984
Investigation of the potential for binding of di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) and di(2-ethylhexyl) adipate (DEHA) to liver DNA in vivo
Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology, **73**, 1984, 373-387, cited in BG-Chemie 1995
- WHO, World Health Organization, 1993
Ethyl-1-hexanol, 2-
Toxicological Evaluation of Certain Food Additives and Contaminants. Forty-first Meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. WHO Food Additives Series, No. 32
Geneva, 1993
<http://www.inchem.org/pages/jecfa.html>

New references





- Bako-Biro, Z., P. Wargocki, et al. (2004). "Effects of pollution from personal computers on perceived air quality, SBS symptoms and productivity in offices." *Indoor Air* **14**(3): 178-87.
- Bornehag, C. G., J. Sundell, et al. (2005). "'Dampness' at home and its association with airway, nose, and skin symptoms among 10,851 preschool children in Sweden: a cross-sectional study." *Indoor Air* **15 Suppl 10**: 48-55.
- Horn, O., S. Nalli, et al. (2004). "Plasticizer metabolites in the environment." *Water Research* **38**(17): 3693-8.
- Iregren, A. (1998). Computer-assisted testing. *Occupational neurotoxicology*. L. G. Costa and L. Manzo. Boca Raton, CRC Press: 213-232.
- Janson, C., D. Norback, et al. (2005). "Insomnia is more common among subjects living in damp buildings." *Occupational & Environmental Medicine* **62**(2): 113-8.
- Kamijima, M., K. Sakai, et al. (2002). "2-Ethyl-1-hexanol in indoor air as a possible cause of sick building syndroms." *J Occup Health* **44**: 186-191.
- Kamijima, M., E. Shibata, et al. (2005). "[Indoor air pollution due to 2-ethyl-1-hexanol airborne concentrations, emission sources and subjective symptoms in classroom users]." *Nippon Koshu Eisei Zasshi - Japanese Journal of Public Health* **52**(12): 1021-31.
- Kiesswetter, E., C. v. Thriel, et al. (2005). "Eye blinks as indicator for sensory irritation during constant and peak exposures to 2-ethylhexanol." *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology* **19**(3): 531-541.
- Nalli, S., O. J. Horn, et al. (2006). "Origin of 2-ethylhexanol as a VOC." *Environmental Pollution* **140**(1): 181-5.
- Norback, D., E. Bjornsson, et al. (1999). "Current asthma and biochemical signs of inflammation in relation to building dampness in dwellings." *International Journal of Tuberculosis & Lung Disease* **3**(5): 368-76.
- Norback, D., G. Wieslander, et al. (2000). "Asthma symptoms in relation to measured building dampness in upper concrete floor construction, and 2-ethyl-1-hexanol in indoor air." *International Journal of Tuberculosis & Lung Disease* **4**(11): 1016-25.
- Putus, T., A. Tuomainen, et al. (2004). "Chemical and microbial exposures in a school building: adverse health effects in children." *Archives of Environmental Health* **59**(4): 194-201.
- Sakai, K., M. Kamijima, et al. (2006). "Indoor air pollution by 2-ethyl-1-hexanol in non-domestic buildings in Nagoya, Japan." *Journal of Environmental Monitoring* **8**(11): 1122-8.
- Tuomainen, A., M. Seuri, et al. (2004). "Indoor air quality and health problems associated with damp floor coverings." *International Archives of Occupational & Environmental Health* **77**(3): 222-6.
- Tuomainen, A., H. Stark, et al. (2006). "Experimental PVC material challenge in subjects with occupational PVC exposure." *Environmental Health Perspectives* **114**(9): 1409-13.
- van Thriel, C., E. Kiesswetter, et al. (2007). "From neurotoxic to chemosensory effects: new insights on acute solvent neurotoxicity exemplified by acute effects of 2-ethylhexanol." *Neurotoxicology* **28**(2): 347-55.
- van Thriel, C., E. Kiesswetter, et al. (2005). "An integrative approach considering acute symptoms and intensity ratings of chemosensory sensations during experimental exposures." *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology* **19**(3): 589-598.
- van Thriel, C., A. Seeber, et al. (2003). "Physiological and psychological approaches to chemosensory effects of solvents." *Toxicology Letters* **140-141**: 261-71.
- Wieslander, G., D. Norback, et al. (1999). "Nasal and ocular symptoms, tear film stability and biomarkers in nasal lavage, in relation to building-dampness and building design in hospitals." *International Archives of Occupational & Environmental Health* **72**(7): 451-61.

